

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,302	09/764,302 01/19/2001		Tadao Tsuchimura	1046.1235/JDH	6751	
21171	7590	11/06/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS &	HALSE	Y LLP	NGUYEN, LE V			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ron, do	C 20005	2174			
				DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/764,302	TSUCHIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Le Nguyen	2174		

	Le Nguyen	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10/10/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailinb). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` ''	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10-13,28-31 and 46-49. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6-8,24-26 and 42-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wirided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 			ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	yo(s)	,
13. Other:	<i>Li</i> Ki	No(s) Custine Xina RISTINE KINCA!D	aid
	SUPERVIS	ORY PATENT EXAMINATION OF PATENT EXAMINATION OF CENTER 2100	NEK

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued the following:

Although Rivette et al., discloses a series of screen layout options that can include different orientations of display windows, resemble the divided display areas of the invention of claim 6 and select a screen layout from a dialogue box, they are not provided with identifying information at all, much less identifying information that can be manipulated in order to enlarge a desired window up to the size of the screen while deleting the other windows.

The Office disagrees for the following reasons:

Rivette teaches that a selection of a 4-window layout to a desired 2-window layout or of a 4-window layout to a desired 1-window layout, via selection of identifying information 787 or 785, results in the patent or equivalent files being displayed in the desired window to be enlarged up to the size of the screen while deleting the other windows (col. 33, line 66 through col. 34, line 48).